

Existence Results for Nonlinear Anisotropic Elliptic Equation

Youssef Akdim^{*1}, Mostafa El mounni², Abdelhafid Salmani¹

¹ Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Mathematics Physics and Computer Science, LSI, FP, Taza, Morocco

² Chouaib Doukkali University, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences El jadida, Morocco

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 20 May, 2017

Accepted: 15 July, 2017

Online: 29 December, 2017

Keywords:

Anisotropic elliptic equations

Weak solutions

Nonlinear operators

ABSTRACT

In this work, we shall be concerned with the existence of weak solutions of anisotropic elliptic operators $Au + \sum_{i=1}^N g_i(x, u, \nabla u) + \sum_{i=1}^N H_i(x, \nabla u) = f - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} k_i$, where the right hand side f belongs to $L^{p_\infty}(\Omega)$ and k_i belongs to $L^{p'_i}(\Omega)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and A is a Leray-Lions operator. The critical growth condition on g_i is the respect to ∇u and no growth condition with respect to u , while the function H_i grows as $|\nabla u|^{p_i-1}$.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the existence of weak solutions to anisotropic elliptic equations with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions of the type

$$\begin{cases} Au + \sum_{i=1}^N g_i(x, u, \nabla u) + \sum_{i=1}^N H_i(x, \nabla u) \\ = f - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} k_i & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$) with Lipschitz continuous boundary. The operator $Au = -\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_i(x, u, \nabla u)$ is a Leray-Lions operator such that the functions a_i , g_i and H_i are the Carathodory functions satisfying the following conditions for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. in Ω :

$$\sum_{i=1}^N a_i(x, s, \xi) \xi_i \geq \lambda \sum_{i=1}^N |\xi_i|^{p_i},$$

$$|a_i(x, s, \xi)| \leq \gamma [|s|^{\frac{p_\infty}{p_i}} + |\xi_i|^{p_i-1}],$$

$$(a_i(x, s, \xi) - a_i(x, s, \xi'))(\xi_i - \xi'_i) > 0 \quad \text{for } \xi_i \neq \xi'_i,$$

$$g_i(x, s, \xi) s \geq 0,$$

$$|g_i(x, s, \xi)| \leq L(|s|) |\xi_i|^{p_i} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$|H_i(x, \xi)| \leq b_i |\xi_i|^{p_i-1},$$

where λ, γ, b_i are some positive constants, for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $L: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous and non decreasing function. The right hand side f and k_i for $i = 1, \dots, N$ are functions belonging to $L^{p_\infty}(\Omega)$ and $L^{p'_i}(\Omega)$ where $p'_i = \frac{p_i}{p_i-1}$, $p_\infty = \frac{p_\infty}{p_\infty-1}$ with $p_\infty = \max\{\bar{p}^*, p_+\}$ where $p_+ = \max\{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$, $\bar{p} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i}}$ and $\bar{p}^* = \frac{N\bar{p}}{N-\bar{p}}$.

Since the growth and the coercivity conditions of each a_i for all $i = 1, \dots, N$ depend on p_i , we have need to use the anisotropic Sobolev space. We mention some papers on anisotropic Sobolev spaces (see e.g.[1]-[5]).

If $p_i = p$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$, we refer some works such as by Guibé in [6], by Monetti and Randazzo in [7] and by Y. Akdim, A. Benkirane and M. El Mounni in [8].

In [3], L.Boccardo, T. Gallouet and P. Marcellini have studied the problem (1) when $a_i(x, u, \nabla u) = \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$, $g_i = 0$, $H_i = 0$, $k_i = 0$ and $f = \mu$ is Radon's measure. In [5], F. Li has proved the existence and regularity of weak solutions of the problem (1) with $g_i = 0$, $H_i = 0$, $k_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$ and f belongs to $L^m(\Omega)$ with $m > 1$. In [9], R. Di Nardo and F. Feo have proved the existence of weak solution of the problem (1) when $g_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. In [10], we have proved the existence and uniqueness of weak solution of the problem (1) but when $Au = -\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_i(x, \nabla u)$ (a_i depending only on x and ∇u).

In this work, we prove the existence of weak solu-

*Corresponding Author: Youssef Akdim, FP, Taza, Morocco & youssef.akdim@usmba.ac.ma

tions of the problem (1), based on techniques related to that of Di castro in [11] and to the recent work's Di Nardo and F. Feo in [9].

2 Preliminaries

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$) with Lipschitz continuous boundary and let $1 < p_1, \dots, p_N < \infty$ be N real numbers, $p^+ = \max\{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$, $p^- = \min\{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$ and $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$. The anisotropic Sobolev space (see [12])

$$W^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^{p_i}(\Omega), i = 1, 2, \dots, N \right\}$$

is a Banach space with respect to norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}.$$

The space $W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to this norm. We recall a Poincarre-type inequality. Let $u \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ then there exists a constant C_p such that (see[13])

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)} \leq C_p \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (1)$$

Moreover a Sobolev-type inequality holds. Let us denote by \bar{p} the harmonic mean of these numbers, i.e. $\frac{1}{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i}$. Let $u \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$, then there exists (see [12]) a constant C_s such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C_s \prod_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{N}}. \quad (2)$$

Where $q = \bar{p}^* = \frac{N\bar{p}}{N-\bar{p}}$ if $\bar{p} < N$ or $q \in [1, +\infty[$ if $\bar{p} \geq N$. We recall the arithmetic mean: Let a_1, \dots, a_N be positive numbers, it holds

$$\prod_{i=1}^N a_i^{\frac{1}{N}} \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i. \quad (3)$$

Which implies by (2)

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_s}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^{p_i}(\Omega)}. \quad (4)$$

When $\bar{p} < N$ hold, inequality (4) implies the continuous embedding of the space $W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ into $L^q(\Omega)$ for every $q \in [1, \bar{p}^*]$. On the other hand the continuity of the embedding $W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^+}(\Omega)$ relies on inequality (1). Let us put $p_\infty := \max\{\bar{p}^*, p^+\}$

Proposition 1 For $q \in [1, p_\infty]$ there is a continuous embedding $W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$. If $q < p_\infty$ the embedding is compact.

3 Assumptions and Definition

We consider the following class of nonlinear anisotropic elliptic homogenous Dirichlet problems

$$\begin{cases} - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_i(x, u, \nabla u) + \sum_{i=1}^N g_i(x, u, \nabla u) + \\ \sum_{i=1}^N H_i(x, \nabla u) = f - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} k_i \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$) with Lipschitz continuous boundary $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p_1, \dots, p_N < \infty$. We assume that $a_i: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g_i: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $H_i: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Carathodory functions such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a. e. in Ω :

$$\sum_{i=1}^N a_i(x, s, \xi) \xi_i \geq \lambda \sum_{i=1}^N |\xi_i|^{p_i}, \quad (5)$$

$$|a_i(x, s, \xi)| \leq \gamma [|s|^{p_i} + |\xi_i|^{p_i-1}], \quad (6)$$

$$(a_i(x, s, \xi) - a_i(x, s, \xi'))(\xi_i - \xi'_i) > 0 \text{ for } \xi_i \neq \xi'_i, \quad (7)$$

$$g_i(x, s, \xi) s \geq 0, \quad (8)$$

$$|g_i(x, s, \xi)| \leq L(|s|) |\xi_i|^{p_i} \forall i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (9)$$

$$|H_i(x, \xi)| \leq b_i |\xi_i|^{p_i-1}, \quad (10)$$

where λ, γ, b_i are some positive constants, for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $L: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous and non decreasing function. Moreover, we suppose that

$$f \in L^{p'_\infty}(\Omega), \quad (11)$$

$$k_i \in L^{p'_i}(\Omega) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (12)$$

Definition 1 A function $u \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of the problem (1) if $\sum_{i=1}^N g_i(x, u, \nabla u) \in L^1(\Omega)$ and u satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left[a_i(x, u, \nabla u) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} + g_i(x, u, \nabla u) \varphi + H_i(x, \nabla u) \varphi \right] \\ = \int_{\Omega} \left[f \varphi + \sum_{i=1}^N k_i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \right] \\ \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

4 Main results

In this section we prove the existence of at least a weak solution of the problem (1). We consider the approximate problems.

4.1 Approximate problems and a priori estimates

Let

$$g_i^n(x, u, \nabla u) = \frac{g_i(x, u, \nabla u)}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|g_i(x, u, \nabla u)|}$$

and

$$H_i^n(x, \nabla u) = \frac{H_i(x, \nabla u)}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|H_i(x, \nabla u)|}$$

By Leray-Lions (see e.g. [14]), there exists at least a weak solution $u_n \in W_0^{1, \bar{p}}(\Omega)$ of the following approximate problem

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) + \sum_{i=1}^N g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^N H_i^n(x, \nabla u_n) = f - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} k_i \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u_n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Lemma 1 See ([9], lemma 4.2) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $u \in W_0^{1, \bar{p}}(\Omega)$, then there exists t measurable subsets $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_t$ of Ω and t functions u_1, \dots, u_t such that $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, $|\Omega_t| \leq A$ and $|\Omega_s| = A$ for $s \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$, $\{x \in \Omega : |\frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i}| \neq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N\} \subset \Omega_s$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i}$ a. e. in Ω_s , $\frac{\partial(u_1 + \dots + u_s)}{\partial x_i} u_s = (\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}) u_s$, $u_1 + \dots + u_s = u$ in Ω and $sign(u) = sign(u_s)$ if $u_s \neq 0$ for $s \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

Proposition 2 Assume that $\bar{p} < N$, (5)-(12) hold and let $u_n \in W_0^{1, \bar{p}}(\Omega)$ be a solution to problem (13) then, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i} \leq C, \quad (14)$$

for some positive constant C depending on $N, \Omega, \lambda, \gamma, p_i, b_i, \|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}(\Omega)}, \|g_i\|_{L^{p'_i}(\Omega)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Proof: Let A be a positive real number, that will be chosen later, Referring to lemma 1. Let us fix $s \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ and let us use $T_k(u_s)$ as test function in problem 13, using (5), (8), Young's and Hölder's inequalities and proposition 1 we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\{u_s \leq k\}} |\frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i} \quad (15)$$

$$\leq C_1 \left(\|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}(\Omega)} d_s^{\frac{1}{N}} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |H_i(x, \nabla u)| |u_s| + \sum_{i=1}^N \|k_i\|_{L^{p'_i}(\Omega)}^{p'_i} \right).$$

The dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i} \leq C_1 \left(\|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}(\Omega)} d_s^{\frac{1}{N}} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |H_i(x, \nabla u)| |u_s| + \sum_{i=1}^N \|k_i\|_{L^{p'_i}(\Omega)}^{p'_i} \right),$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$, where $d_s = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega} |\frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}$

here and in what follows the constants depend on the data but not on the function u .

Using condition (10), Hölder's and Young's inequalities, lemma 1 and proposition 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |H_i(x, \nabla u)| |u_s| \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} b_i |\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i-1} |u_s| \\ & \leq C_2 \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\sum_{\sigma=1}^s \int_{\Omega_\sigma} |\frac{\partial u_\sigma}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i-1} |u_s| + \int_{\Omega \setminus \cup_{\sigma=1}^s \Omega_\sigma} |\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i-1} |u_s| \right] \\ & \leq C_2 \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\sum_{\sigma=1}^s \int_{\Omega_\sigma} |\frac{\partial u_\sigma}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i-1} |u_s| \right] \\ & \leq C_2 \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\sigma=1}^s \left(\int_{\Omega_\sigma} |\frac{\partial u_\sigma}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_s|^{p_\infty} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_\infty}} |\Omega_\sigma|^{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}} \\ & \leq C_2 \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\sigma=1}^s \left[\frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\Omega_\sigma} |\frac{\partial u_\sigma}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i} + \frac{1}{p_i} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_s|^{p_\infty} \right)^{\frac{p_i}{p_\infty}} \right] |\Omega_\sigma|^{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}} \end{aligned}$$

since $\left(\|u_s\|_{L^{p'_\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_s \prod_{j=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{L^{p'_j}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{N}} \right)$ and $|\Omega_\sigma| \leq A$,

hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |H_i(x, \nabla u)| |u_s| \quad (16)$$

$$\leq C_2 \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}} \sum_{\sigma=1}^s \left[\frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\Omega_\sigma} |\frac{\partial u_\sigma}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i} + \frac{CC_s}{p_i} \prod_{j=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{L^{p'_j}(\Omega)}^{\frac{p_i}{N}} \right]$$

$$\leq C_3 \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}} \left[\int_{\Omega_s} |\frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i} + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s-1} \int_{\Omega_\sigma} |\frac{\partial u_\sigma}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i} + d_s^{\frac{p_i}{N}} \right]$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$. Putting (16) in (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i} \leq C_1 \left\{ \|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}(\Omega)} d_s^{\frac{1}{N}} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|k_i\|_{L^{p'_i}(\Omega)}^{p'_i} + \right. \\ & \left. C_3 \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}} \left[\int_{\Omega_s} |\frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i} + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s-1} \int_{\Omega_\sigma} |\frac{\partial u_\sigma}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i} + d_s^{\frac{p_i}{N}} \right] \right\}. \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

If A is such that

$$1 - C_1 C_3 \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}} > 0, \quad (18)$$

inequality (17) becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \leq C_4 \left\{ \|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}(\Omega)} d_s^{\frac{1}{N}} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|k_i\|_{L^{p'_i}(\Omega)}^{p'_i} + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s-1} \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega_s} \left| \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_j} \right|^{p_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}} d_s^{\frac{p_i}{N}} \right\} \quad (19)$$

for some constant $C_4 > 0$ and for $s = 1$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \leq C_4 \left\{ \|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}(\Omega)} d_1^{\frac{1}{N}} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|k_i\|_{L^{p'_i}(\Omega)}^{p'_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}} d_1^{\frac{p_i}{N}} \right\}. \quad (20)$$

Let us choose A such that (18) and

$$1 - C_4 \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}} > 0 \text{ hold, (see [9]).}$$

For example, we can take

$$A < \min \left\{ 1, \left(\frac{1}{2C_3} \right)^{\frac{1}{\min_{i=1 \dots N} \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty} \right)}}; \left(\frac{1}{2C_3} \right)^{\frac{1}{\min_{i=1 \dots N} \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty} \right)}} \right\}.$$

By this choice, we obtain

$$d_1 = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \leq C_5 \left[\left(\|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}(\Omega)}^{\frac{N}{p}} + \|v_2\|_{L^{p'_\infty}(\Omega)}^{\frac{N}{p}} \right) d_1^{\frac{1}{p}} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|k_i\|_{L^{p'_i}(\Omega)}^{p'_i} \right].$$

Then there exists a constant $C_6 > 0$ such that $d_1 \leq C_6$ and by (20), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \leq C_7, \quad (21)$$

for some constant $C_7 > 0$. Moreover using (21) in (19) and iterating on s , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \leq C_8 \left[\|f\|_{L^{p'_\infty}(\Omega)} d_s^{\frac{1}{N}} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|k_i\|_{L^{p'_i}(\Omega)}^{p'_i} + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N A^{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_\infty}} d_s^{\frac{p_i}{N}} \right]$$

then arguing as before, we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \leq C_9$, for some constant $C_9 > 0$, then

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)} \leq k \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{s=1}^t \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \leq C_{10}, \text{ for some positive } k > 0.$$

Since u_n is bounded in $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ and the embedding $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^-}(\Omega)$ is compact, we obtain the following results.

Corollaire 1 *If u_n is a weak solution of problem (13), then there exists a subsequence $(u_n)_n$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^{p^-}(\Omega)$ and a. e. in Ω .*

4.2 Strong convergence of $T_k(u_n)$

Lemma 2 *Assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ and a. e. in Ω and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} [a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) - a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u)] \left(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ then,}$$

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega).$$

Proof: The proof follows as in Lemma 5 of [15] taking into account the anisotropy of operator. \square

Proposition 3 *Let u_n be a solution to the approximate problem (13), then*

$$T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u) \text{ strongly in } W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$$

Proof: Let us fix k and let δ be a real number such that $\delta \geq \left(\frac{L(k)}{2\lambda} \right)^2$. Let us define $z_n = T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)$ and $\varphi(s) = se^{\delta s^2}$, it is easy to check that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$\varphi'(s) - \frac{L(k)}{\lambda} |\varphi(s)| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (22)$$

Using $\varphi(z_n)$ as test function in (13), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \frac{\partial \varphi(z_n)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \varphi(z_n) + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} H_i^n(x, \nabla u_n) \varphi(z_n) = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi(z_n) + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} k_i(x) \frac{\partial \varphi(z_n)}{\partial x_i}. \quad (23)$$

Since $\varphi(z_n) \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_\infty}(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^{p'_\infty}(\Omega)$ then $\int_{\Omega} f \varphi(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Since

$T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup T_k(u)$ weakly in $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$, $k_i \in L^{p'_i}(\Omega)$ and $(\varphi'(z_n))_n$ is bounded then $\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} k_i(x) \frac{\partial \varphi(z_n)}{\partial x_i} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} H_i^n(x, \nabla u_n) \varphi(z_n) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-1} |b_i \varphi(z_n)| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega} |b_i \varphi(z_n)|^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega} |b_i \varphi(z_n)|^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $b_i \varphi(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in Ω and $|b_i \varphi(z_n)| \leq |b_i| \times 2ke^{4k^2\delta} \in L^{p_i}(\Omega)$, then by dominated convergence theorem $b_i \varphi(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$, then

$\left| \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} H_i^n(x, \nabla u_n) \varphi(z_n) dx \right| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Denote by $\varepsilon_1(n), \varepsilon_2(n), \dots$ various sequences of real numbers which converge to zero when n tends to $+\infty$. Using (8), we obtain that $g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \varphi(z_n) \geq 0$ on the set $\{|u_n| > k\}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \frac{\partial \varphi(z_n)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \varphi(z_n) dx \leq \varepsilon_1(n) \quad (24)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \frac{\partial \varphi(z_n)}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) \varphi'(z_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) \varphi'(z_n) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\{u_n > k\}} a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \varphi'(z_n). \end{aligned}$$

The sequence $\left(a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \varphi'(z_n) \right)_n$ is bounded in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$, then since $\frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \chi_{\{|u_n| > k\}} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \frac{\partial \varphi(z_n)}{\partial x_i} dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) \varphi'(z_n) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_2(n) \end{aligned}$$

which we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \frac{\partial \varphi(z_n)}{\partial x_i} dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - a_i(x, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u)) \right) \\ &\quad \left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) \varphi'(z_n) dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u)) \left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) \varphi'(z_n) dx \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_2(n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in Ω , we have

$a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \varphi'(z_n)$ converges to $a_i(x, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u))$ a. e. in Ω . Let E be measurable subset of Ω , by the growth condition (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_E |a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \varphi'(z_n)|^{p_i} dx \\ &\leq 2^{p_i-1} (1 + 8\delta k^2)^{p_i} e^{4\delta k^2 p_i} \left(k^{p_\infty} |E| + \int_E \left| \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then the sequence $\left(a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \varphi'(z_n) \right)_n$ is equi-integrable and by Vitali's theorem one has $a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \varphi'(z_n)$ converges to $a_i(x, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u))$ strongly in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$. Since $\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i}$ weakly in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \\ &\quad \left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) \varphi'(z_n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \frac{\partial \varphi(z_n)}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - a_i(x, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) \varphi'(z_n) + \varepsilon_3(n). \tag{25}$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (5) and (9)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \varphi(z_n) dx \right| \\ &\leq L(k) \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} |\varphi(z_n)| dx \\ &\leq L(k) \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial T_k u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} |\varphi(z_n)| dx \\ &\leq \frac{L(k)}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \cdot \frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} |\varphi(z_n)| dx \\ &\leq \frac{L(k)}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. a_i(x, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u)) \right) \left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) |\varphi(z_n)| dx + \\ &\quad \frac{L(k)}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u)) \cdot \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} |\varphi(z_n)| dx + \\ &\quad \frac{L(k)}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u)) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) |\varphi(z_n)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as above, it's easy to see that by (6), corollary 1 and Vitali's theorem one has

$a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \rightarrow a_i(x, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u))$ strongly in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$. Writing

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) \varphi(z_n) dx \right| \\ &\leq \varphi(2k) \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u))| \cdot \left| \frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right| \end{aligned}$$

and taking into account that $\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i}$ weakly in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) \varphi(z_n) dx$$

tends to zero as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Thanks to (6) and (14), the sequence $\left(a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \right)_n$ is bounded in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$, so that there exists $l_k^i \in L^{p_i}(\Omega)$ such that

$a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \rightharpoonup l_k^i$ weakly in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \varphi(z_n) dx = \\ & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - l_k^i \right) \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \varphi(z_n) dx \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} l_k^i \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \varphi(z_n) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ weak* in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \varphi(z_n) dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence, we get

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \varphi(z_n) dx \right| \leq \frac{L(k)}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \right. \tag{26}$$

$$\left. - a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \right) \left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) |\varphi(z_n)| dx + \varepsilon_5(n).$$

Combining (24), (25) and (26), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \right) \left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) \left(\varphi'(z_n) - \frac{L(k)}{\lambda} |\varphi(z_n)| \right) dx \leq \varepsilon_6(n).$$

Which gives by using (22)

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - a_i(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \right) \left(\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \right) \leq 2\varepsilon_6(n),$$

then lemma 2 gives

$$T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u) \text{ strongly in } W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega). \tag{27}$$

4.3 Existence results

Theorem 1 Assume that $\bar{p} < N$ and (5)-(12) hold. Then there exists at least a weak solution of the problem (1).

Proof: By (4.2) the sequence $(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i})_n$ is bounded in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$, so we have that $\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ weakly in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$. By (27) there exists a subsequence, which we still denote by u_n such that $\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ a. e. in Ω for $i = 1, \dots, N$, then for $i = 1, \dots, N$, we have

$$\begin{cases} a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow a_i(x, u, \nabla u) \text{ a. e. in } \Omega, \\ g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow g_i(x, u, \nabla u) \text{ a. e. in } \Omega, \\ H_i^n(x, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow H_i(x, \nabla u) \text{ a. e. in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Moreover by (6) and (10), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)|^{p_i} \leq C \left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p_{\infty}} + \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \right] \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} |H_i^n(x, \nabla u_n)|^{p_i} \leq C \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i}$$

by (14), $(a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n))_n$ and $(H_i(x, \nabla u_n))_n$ are bounded in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$ then $a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightharpoonup a_i(x, u, \nabla u)$ weakly in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$ and $H_i(x, \nabla u_n) \rightharpoonup H_i(x, \nabla u)$ weakly in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$. Now we prove that $g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)$ is uniformly equi-integrable for $i = 1, \dots, N$. For any measurable E of Ω and for any $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_E |g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| \\ &= \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| \leq k\}} |g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| + \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| > k\}} |g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| \\ &\leq \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| \leq k\}} L(k) \left| \frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} + \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| > k\}} |g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)|, \end{aligned}$$

for fixed k and for $i = 1, \dots, N$. For the first term we

recall $\frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i}$ strongly converges to $\frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i}$ in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Taking $T_k(u_n)$ as test function in (4.1), then

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_k(u_n) + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} H_i^n(x, \nabla u_n) T_k(u_n) = \int_{\Omega} f T_k(u_n) + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} k_i \frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i},$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_k(u_n) \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^{p'_{\infty}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_{\infty}}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p_{\infty}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_{\infty}}} + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega} |k_i|^{p'_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_i}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} b_i \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i-1} |T_k(u_n)| \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^{p'_{\infty}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_{\infty}}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p_{\infty}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_{\infty}}} + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega} |k_i|^{p'_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_i}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\int_{\Omega} b_i^{r_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_i}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p_{\infty}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_{\infty}}} \end{aligned}$$

$\leq C_1$, where r_i is such that $\frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_{\infty}}$. Let E a measurable subset of Ω and for any $m \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_E |g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx \\ &= \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| \leq k\}} |g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx + \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| > k\}} |g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx \\ &\leq \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| \leq k\}} L(k) \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} dx + \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| > k\}} |g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx \\ &\leq \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| \leq k\}} L(k) \left| \frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i} dx + \frac{1}{k} \int_{\{|u_n| > k\}} T_k(u_n) g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx \\ & \text{we have } \frac{\partial T_k(u_n)}{\partial x_i} \rightarrow \frac{\partial T_k(u)}{\partial x_i} \text{ strongly in } L^{p_i}(\Omega) \text{ and } \int_{\{|u_n| > k\}} T_k(u_n) g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx \leq C_1 \end{aligned}$$

then g_i^n is uniformly equi-integrable for any i , since $g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow g_i(x, u, \nabla u)$ a. e. in Ω , we get $g_i^n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow g_i(x, u, \nabla u)$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. That allow us to pass to the limit in the approximate problem.

Remark 1 The condition (6) can be substituted by $|a_i(x, s, \xi)| \leq \gamma \left[j_i + |s|^{\frac{p_{\infty}}{p_i}} + |\xi_i|^{p_i-1} \right]$, where j_i is a positive function in $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$, and the condition (9) can be substituted by $|g_i(x, s, \xi)| \leq L(|s|) (C_i + |\xi_i|^{p_i})$ where C_i is a positive function in $L^1(\Omega)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

References

1. A. Alvino, M.F. Betta, A. Mercaldo, "Comparison principle for some classes of nonlinear elliptic equations.", *J. Differential Equations.*, **12**, 3279–3290, 2010. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2010.07.030>
2. S. Antontsev, M. Chipot, "Anisotropic equations: uniqueness and existence results.", *Differential Integral Equations* **21** (5), 401–419, 2008. <https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.die/1356038624>
3. L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, P. Marcellini, " Anisotropic equations in L^1 .", *Differential Integral Equations.*, **9**(1), 209-212, 1996.
4. G. Bottaro, M. Marina, " Problema di Dirichlet per equazioni ellittiche di tipo variazionale su insiemi non limitati.", *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital*, **8**, 46-56, 1973.
5. F. Li, "Anisotropic elliptic equations in L^m .", *J. Convex Anal*, **8** (2), 417-422, 2001.
6. O. Guibé, A. Mercaldo, " Uniqueness results for non coercive nonlinear elliptic equations with two lower order terms.", *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **7** (1), 163-192, 2008.
7. V. Monetti, L. Randazzo, " Existence results for nonlinear elliptic equations with p-growth in the gradient.", *Ricerche di Matematica* **1**,. 163-181, 2000.
8. Y. Akdim, A. Benkirane, M. El Moumni, " Existence results for nonlinear elliptic problems with lower order terms.", *International Journal of Evolution Equations (IJEE).*, **4**, 1–20, 2014.
9. R. Di Nardo, F. Feo, "Existence and uniqueness For nonlinear anisotropic elliptic equations.", *Arch. Math.***102** 141-153, 2014.
10. Y. Akdim, A. Salmani, " Existence And Uniqueness Results for nonlinear Anisotropic Elliptic Equations.", *Journal of Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Applications (JNEEA).*, **6**, 95–111, 2016.
11. A. Di Castro, "Existence and regularity results for anisotropic elliptic problems.", *Adv. Nonlinear Stud*, **9**, 367-393, 2009.
12. M. Troisi, " Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di Sobolev non isotropi.", *recherche Mat.***18**, 3-24, 1969.
13. I. Fragala, F. Gazzola, B. Kawohl, " Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic quasi- linear elliptic equations.", *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **21**(5), 715-734, 2004.
14. J. Leray, J. L. Lions, " Quelques rsultats de Visik sur les problèmes elliptiques nonlinéaires par les méthodes de Minty-Browder.", *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **93** 97-107, 1965.
15. L. Boccardo, F. Murat, J. P. Puel, " Existence of bounded solution for non linear elliptic unilateral problems.", *Ann. Mat. pura appl.*, **152**, 183-196, 1988.