

Degenerate $p(x)$ -elliptic equation with second membre in L^1

Adil Abbassi^{*1}, Elhoussine Azroul², Abdelkrim Barbara²

¹ Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Mathematics, LMACS Laboratory, FST, Beni-Mellal, Morocco

² Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Mathematics, LAMA Laboratory, FSDM, Fez, Morocco

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 12 April, 2017

Accepted: 04 May, 2017

Online: 10 December, 2017

Keywords :

Sobolev spaces with weight
 and to variable exponents
 Truncations

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove the existence of a solution of the strongly nonlinear degenerate $p(x)$ -elliptic equation of type:

$$(\mathcal{P}) \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} a(x, u, \nabla u) + g(x, u, \nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$, a is a Carathéodory function from $\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ into \mathbb{R}^N , who satisfies assumptions of growth, ellipticity and strict monotonicity. The nonlinear term $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ checks assumptions of growth, sign condition and coercivity condition, while the right hand side f belongs to $L^1(\Omega)$.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$, let $\partial\Omega$ its boundary and $p(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $p(x) > 1$.

Let ν be a weight function in Ω , ie: ν measurable and strictly positive a.e. in Ω . We suppose furthermore, that the weight function satisfies also the integrability conditions defined in section 2.

Let us consider the following degenerate $p(x)$ -elliptic problem with boundary condition

$$(\mathcal{P}) \begin{cases} Au + g(x, u, \nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where A is a Leray-Lions operator defined from $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$ to its dual $W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, \nu^*)$, with $\nu^* = \nu^{1-p'(x)}$, by :

$$Au = -\operatorname{div} a(x, u, \nabla u).$$

where a is a Carathéodory function from $\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ who satisfies assumptions of growth, ellipticity and strict monotonicity, while the nonlinear term $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ checks assumptions of sign and growth. We suppose moreover that g checks the following condition of coercivity:

$$\begin{cases} \exists \rho_1 > 0, \exists \rho_2 > 0 & \text{such that :} \\ \text{for } |s| \geq \rho_1, |g(x, s, \xi)| \geq \rho_2 \nu(x) |\xi|^{p(x)} \end{cases}$$

We suppose also that the second member f belongs to $L^1(\Omega)$.

Let consider the following degenerate $p(x)$ -elliptic problem of Dirichlet:

$$\begin{cases} Au + g(x, u, \nabla u) = f & \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \\ u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu), \quad g(x, u, \nabla u) \in L^1(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

In the case where p is constant and without weight, there is a wide literature in which one can find existence results for problem (1). When the second member f belongs to $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$, A. Bensoussan, L. Boccardo and F. Murat [6] studied the problem and give an existence result. While if $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ the initiated basic works were given by H. Brezis and Strauss [9], L. Boccardo and T. Gallouët [7] also proved an existence result for (1), which was extended to the a unilateral case studied by A. Benkirane and A. Elmahi [5]. When g is not necessarily the null function, T. Del Vecchio [10] proved first existence result for problem (1) in the case where g does not depend on the gradient and then in V. M Monetti and L. Randazzo [16] using, in both works, the rearrangement techniques.

Whoever in [1], Y. Akdim, E. Azroul and A. Benkirane treated the problem (1) within the framework of Sobolev spaces with weight $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$, but while keeping p constant.

E. Azroul, A. Barbara and H. Hjej [2] studied (1), in the nonclassical case by considering nonstandard Sobolev spaces without weight $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. See as well [3] where existence and regularity of entropy solutions was obtained for equation (1) with degenerated

* Adil Abbassi, FST, Beni-Mellal, Morocco & abbassi91@yahoo.fr

second member.

Our objectif, in this paper, is to study equation (1) by adopting Sobolev spaces with weight $v(x)$, and to variable exponents $p(x)$, $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, v)$. We prove that the problem (1) admits at least a solution $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, v)$.

2 Functional frame

Throughout this section, we suppose that the variable exponent $p(\cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow [1, +\infty]$ is log-Hölder continuous on Ω , that is there is a real constant $c > 0$ such that $\forall x, y \in \Omega, x \neq y$ with $|x - y| < 1/2$ one has:

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{c}{-\log|x - y|}$$

and satisfying

$$p^- \leq p(x) \leq p^+ < +\infty$$

where

$$p^- := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x); \quad p^+ := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x).$$

We define

$$C_+(\bar{\Omega}) = \{h \text{ log-Hölder continuous on } \bar{\Omega}, h(x) > 1\}.$$

Definition 1 Let v be a function defined in Ω ; we call v a weight function in Ω if it is measurable and strictly positive a.e. in Ω .

2.1 Lebesgue spaces with weight and to variable exponents

Let $p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ and v be a weighted function in Ω . We define the Lebesgue space with weight and to variable exponents $L^{p(x)}(\Omega, v)$, by $L^{p(x)}(\Omega, v) = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ measurable} : \int_{\Omega} v(x)|u|^{p(x)} dx < \infty\}$, equipped with the Luxemburg norm:

$$\|u\|_{p(x),v} = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : \int_{\Omega} v(x) \left| \frac{u}{\mu} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

Proposition 1 The space $(L^{p(x)}(\Omega, v), \|\cdot\|_{p(x),v})$ is of Banach.

Proof:

Considering the operator

$$M_{\frac{1}{v^{p(x)}}} : L^{p(x)}(\Omega, v) \longrightarrow L^{p(x)}(\Omega), f \rightarrow M_{\frac{1}{v^{p(x)}}}(f) = f v^{\frac{1}{p(x)}}$$

It's clear that $M_{\frac{1}{v^{p(x)}}}$ is isomorphism from $L^{p(x)}(\Omega, v)$ into $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, then $M_{\frac{1}{v^{p(x)}}}$ is a continuous biuniformly

application. Seeing that $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space, then $(L^{p(x)}(\Omega, v), \|\cdot\|_{p(x),v})$ is of Banach.

Let's note $\rho_v(u) = \int_{\Omega} v(x)|u|^{p(x)} dx$.

Remark 1 In simple case $v(x) = 1$, we find again the Lebesgue space with variable exponents $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$; and $\rho_v(u) = \rho_1(u) := \rho(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx$, (see [12],[13] and [17])

Lemma 1 For all function $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega, v)$. There are the following assertions:

- (i) $\rho_v(u) > 1 \quad (= 1; < 1) \Leftrightarrow \|u\|_{p(x),v} > 1 \quad (= 1; < 1)$, respectively.
- (ii) If $\|u\|_{p(x),v} > 1$ then $\|u\|_{p(x),v}^{p^-} \leq \rho_v(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(x),v}^{p^+}$.
- (iii) If $\|u\|_{p(x),v} < 1$ then $\|u\|_{p(x),v}^{p^+} \leq \rho_v(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(x),v}^{p^-}$.

Proof:

Seeing that $\rho_v(u) = \rho(v^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} u)$ and $\|v^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} u\|_{p(x)} = \|u\|_{p(x),v}$, and using [17], we prove the lemma 2.1 above.

Let v be a weight function such that the following condition:

$$(w1) \quad v \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega); \quad v^{\frac{-1}{p(x)-1}} \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega).$$

Proposition 2 Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , and v be a weight function on Ω ,

If (w1) is verified then $L^{p(x)}(\Omega, v) \hookrightarrow L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$.

Proof:

Let K be a included compact on Ω . Using Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K |u| dx &= \int_K |u| v^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} v^{\frac{-1}{p(x)}} dx \\ &\leq 2 \| |u| v^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \|_{L^{p(x)}(K)} \| v^{\frac{-1}{p(x)}} \|_{L^{p'(x)}(K)}, \\ &\leq 2 \|u\|_{p(x),v} \left(\int_K v^{\frac{-p'(x)}{p(x)}} dx + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \\ &\leq 2 \|u\|_{p(x),v} \left(\int_K v^{\frac{-1}{p(x)-1}} dx + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the assumption (w1) we deduce that

$$\int_K |u| dx \leq C \|u\|_{p(x),v}.$$

2.2 Spaces of Sobolev with weight and to variable exponents

Let $p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ and v be a weight function in Ω . We define the space of Sobolev with weight and to variable exponents denoted $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \vec{v})$, by

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, v) = \left\{ u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega, v), i = 1, \dots, N \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p(x),v} = \|u\|_{p(x)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{p(x),v}$$

which is equivalent to the Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\| = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|u|^{p(x)}}{\mu} + \nu(x) \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p(x)} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Proposition 3 Let ν be a weight function in Ω who checks the condition (w1).

Then the space $(W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu), \|\cdot\|_{1,p(x),\nu})$ is of Banach.

Proof:

Let us consider $(u_n)_n$ a Cauchy sequence of $(W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu), \|\cdot\|_{1,p(x),\nu})$.

Then $(u_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and the sequence $(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i})_n$ is also a Cauchy belong $L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$.

According the proposition 2.1, there exists $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, and there exists $v_i \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$ such that $\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \rightarrow v_i$ in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Seeing that proposition 2.2, we have $L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \nu) \subset L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and $L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \subset D'(\Omega)$.

Thus, we obtain $\forall \varphi \in D(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{v_i}, \varphi \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_{\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i}}, \varphi \rangle, \\ &= -\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_{u_n}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \rangle, \\ &= -\langle T_u, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \rangle, \\ &= \langle T_{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}}, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T_{v_i} = T_{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}}$, i.e. $v_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$.

Consequently

$$u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu),$$

and

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu),$$

We deduce then that $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$ is a complete space.

• On another side, seeing that ν satisfies the condition (w1), we prove that $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is included in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$; that enables us to define the following space

$$W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu) = \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_{1,p(x),\nu}},$$

who is closed in complete space, then it's complete.

Proposition 4 (Characterization of the dual space $(W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu))^*$)

Let $p(\cdot) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ and ν a vector of weight who satisfies the condition (w1). Then for all $G \in (W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu))^*$, there exist a unique system of functions $(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_N) \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega) \times (L^{p'(x)}(\Omega, \nu^{1-p'(x)}))^N$ such that $\forall f \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$:

$$G(f) = \int_{\Omega} f(x)g_0(x)dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} g_i(x)dx.$$

Proof

The proof of this proposition is similar to that of [14] (theorem3.16).

Besides the (w1) assumption, we suppose that the function weight satisfied

$$(w2) \nu^{-s(x)} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$$

where s is a positive function to specify afterwards.

Let us introduce the function p_s defined by

$$p_s(x) = \frac{p(x)s(x)}{s(x) + 1},$$

we have

$$p_s(x) < p(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

and

$$\begin{cases} p_s^*(x) = \frac{Np_s(x)}{N-p_s(x)} = \frac{Np(x)s(x)}{N(s(x)+1)-p(x)s(x)} & \text{if } p(x)s(x) < N(s(x) + 1), \\ p_s^*(x) \text{ arbitrary,} & \text{else if,} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 5 Let $p, s \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ and ν a function weight which satisfies (w1) and (w2). Then $W^{1,p_s(x)}(\Omega, \nu) \hookrightarrow W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$.

Proof

According to the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^{p_s(x)} dx &= \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^{p_s(x)} \nu^{\frac{p_s(x)}{p(x)}} \nu^{-\frac{p_s(x)}{p(x)}} dx \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{(\frac{p}{p_s})_-} + \frac{1}{(s+1)^-} \right) \left\| |v(x)|^{p_s(x)} \nu^{\frac{p_s(x)}{p(x)}} \right\|_{\frac{p(x)}{p_s(x)}} \left\| \nu^{-\frac{p_s(x)}{p(x)}} \right\|_{s(x)+1} \\ &\leq C_0 \left(\int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^{p(x)} \nu(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \nu(x)^{-s(x)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_2}} \\ &\leq C_0 \left(\int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^{p(x)} \nu(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \nu(x)^{-s(x)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_2}} \\ &\leq C_0 C_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^{p(x)} \nu(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}}, \text{ according to (w2).} \end{aligned}$$

If we take $v = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$, we will obtain then

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_s(x)} dx \leq C_0 C_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p(x)} \nu(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}}$$

where

$$\gamma_1 = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{p}{p_s} \right)_- & \text{if } \left\| |v(x)|^{p_s(x)} \nu^{\frac{p_s(x)}{p(x)}} \right\|_{\frac{p(x)}{p_s(x)}} \geq 1, \\ \left(\frac{p}{p_s} \right)_+ & \text{if } \left\| |v(x)|^{p_s(x)} \nu^{\frac{p_s(x)}{p(x)}} \right\|_{\frac{p(x)}{p_s(x)}} < 1, \end{cases}$$

consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{p_s(x)}^{\gamma_2} &\leq C_0 C_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p(x)} \nu(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} \\ &\leq C_0 C_1 \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{p(x),\nu}^{\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma_2 = \begin{cases} (p_s)_- & \text{if } \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{p_s(x)} \geq 1, \\ (p_s)_+ & \text{if } \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{p_s(x)} < 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\gamma_3 = \begin{cases} p^+ & \text{if } \|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x)\|_{p(x),v} \geq 1, \\ p_- & \text{if } \|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x)\|_{p(x),v} < 1. \end{cases}$$

thus

$$\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\|_{p_s(x)} \leq C_0 C_1 \|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\|_{p(x),v}^{\frac{\gamma_3}{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (2)$$

Seeing that

$$p_s(x) < p(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

then, there is a constant

$$C > 0 \text{ such that } \|u\|_{L^{p_s(x)}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)},$$

we conclude then that

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, v) \hookrightarrow W^{1,p_s(x)}(\Omega).$$

Corollaire 1 Let $p, s \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ and v a function weight which satisfies (w1) and (w2). Then $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, v) \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega)$, for $1 \leq r(x) < p_s^*(x)$

3 Basic assumption

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$, let $p(\cdot) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, and v a function weight in Ω such that:

$$v \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \quad (3)$$

$$v^{\frac{-1}{p(x)-1}} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \quad (4)$$

and

$$v^{-s(x)} \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \text{ where } s(x) \in \left] \frac{N}{p(x)}, \infty \right[\cap \left] \frac{1}{p(x)-1}, \infty \right[\quad (5)$$

Let A Leray-Lions operator defined from $W^{1,p(x)}_0(\Omega, v)$ to its dual $W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, v^*)$ by

$$Au = -\text{div } a(x, u, \nabla u),$$

where a is a Carathéodory function satisfying the following assumptions:

$$|a_i(x, r, \zeta)| \leq \beta v^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \left[b(x) + |r|^{\frac{p(x)}{p'(x)}} + v^{\frac{1}{p'(x)}} |\zeta|^{p(x)-1} \right], \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (6)$$

$$[a(x, r, \zeta) - a(x, r, \bar{\zeta})](\zeta - \bar{\zeta}) > 0, \quad \forall \zeta \neq \bar{\zeta} \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (7)$$

$$a(x, s, \zeta) \zeta \geq \alpha v |\zeta|^{p(x)}. \quad (8)$$

with $b(x)$ be a positive function in $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, and α, β are two strictly positive constants. On another side, let $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Carathéodory function who satisfied a.e. for $x \in \Omega$ and for all $r \in \mathbb{R}, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ the following conditions:

$$g(x, r, \zeta)r \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

$$|g(x, r, \zeta)| \leq d(|r|)(v(x)|\zeta|^{p(x)} + c(x)). \quad (10)$$

with $d: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ a continuous, nondecreasing and positive function; whereas $c(x)$ be a positive function in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Moreover, we suppose that g checks:

$$\begin{cases} \exists \rho_1 > 0, \exists \rho_2 > 0 \text{ such that :} \\ \text{for } |s| \geq \rho_1, |g(x, s, \xi)| \geq \rho_2 v(x) |\xi|^{p(x)} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

and

$$f \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (12)$$

We have the following theorem

Theorem 1 Assume that (3) – (12) holds, then the problem (1) admits at least one solution $u \in W^{1,p(x)}_0(\Omega, v)$

Remark 2 If $f \in W^{-1,p'(x)}(\Omega, v^*)$ then we have $ug(x, u, \nabla u) \in L^1(\Omega)$. But if $f \in L^1(\Omega)$, we necessarily do not have $ug(x, u, \nabla u) \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Counter example:

Let us consider $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| < 1\}$, the ball open unit of \mathbb{R}^2 , let $\lambda \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}[$, we then have $u(x) = \ln^\lambda(\frac{1}{|x|}) \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ and $-\Delta u \in L^1(\Omega)$, such that $-\Delta u \geq 0, u|\nabla u|^2 \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $|u|^2|\nabla u|^2$ does not belong to $L^1(\Omega)$ see [7].

Remark 3 The result of theorem 1 is not true when $g(x, r, \zeta) = 0$, seeing that in the non-degenerate case with $p(x) = p$ constant, $p \leq N$, and when $f \in L^1(\Omega)$, a solution of $Au = f$ does not belong to $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ but belongs to $\bigcap_{1 < q < \frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}} W^{1,q}_0(\Omega)$, see [8].

Definition 2 Let X a Banach space. An operator A from X to its dual X^* is called as type (M) if for all sequence $(u_n)_n \subset X$ satisfying:

- (i) $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in X
- (ii) $Au_n \rightharpoonup \chi$ weakly in X^*
- (iii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_n, u_n \rangle \leq \langle \chi, u \rangle$,

then $\chi = Au$.

Remark 4 The theorem 2.1 (p.171 [15]) remains valid if we replace A monotonous by: A of type (M).

4 Approximate problem

Let $(f_n)_n$ a sequence of regularly functions such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $\|f_n\| \leq \|f\| = C_1$.

Let us consider the following approximate problem:

$$(\mathcal{P}_n) \begin{cases} Au_n + g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) = f_n & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_n \in W^{1,p(x)}_0(\Omega, v), \end{cases}$$

where $g_n(x, r, \zeta) = \frac{g(x, r, \zeta)}{1 + \frac{1}{n}g(x, r, \zeta)} \chi_{\Omega_n}$, with χ_{Ω_n} is the characteristic function of Ω_n where Ω_n is a sequence of compact subsets which is increasing towards Ω .

We have $g_n(x, r, \zeta) \geq 0; |g_n(x, r, \zeta)| \leq |g(x, r, \zeta)|$ and $|g_n(x, r, \zeta)| \leq n$.

Let us consider $G_n : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, \nu^*)$ defined by

$$\langle G_n u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} g_n(x, u, \nabla u) \nabla v dx, u, v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu).$$

Proposition 6 The operator G_n is bounded.

Proof:

Let u and v in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$, according to the Hölder inequality, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle G_n u, v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} g_n(x, u, \nabla u) \nabla v dx, \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |g_n(x, u, \nabla u)| \nu(x)^{\frac{-1}{p(x)}} \nabla v \nu(x)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} dx \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p_-} + \frac{1}{p_+}\right) \| |g_n(x, u, \nabla u)| \nu(x)^{\frac{-1}{p(x)}} \|_{p'(x)} \| \nabla v \nu(x)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \|_{p(x)}, \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |g_n(x, u, \nabla u)|^{p'(x)} \nu(x)^* dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_+}} \|v\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} n^{p'(x)} \nu(x)^* dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_+}} \|v\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)} \\ &\leq C n^{p_+} \left(\int_{\Omega} \nu(x)^* dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_+}} \|v\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)} \\ &\leq C' \|v\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7 The operator $A + G_n : W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, \nu^*)$ defined by $\forall u, v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$,

$$\langle (A+G_n)u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} a(x, u, \nabla u) \nabla v dx + \int_{\Omega} g_n(x, u, \nabla u) \nabla v dx,$$

is bounded, coercif, hemicontinuous and of type (M).

Thanks to [15], the approximate problem admits at least a solution.

Proof of the proposition 7

Using (6) and Hölder inequality, we conclude that A is bounded, by taking account of the proposition 6, we will obtain that $A + G_n$ is bounded. The coercivity rise from (8) and from (9). It remains to be shown that $A + G_n$ is hemicontinuous, i.e. that:

$$\forall u, v, w \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu),$$

$$\langle (A+G_n)(u+tv), w \rangle \rightarrow \langle (A+G_n)(u+t_0v), w \rangle, \text{ when } t \rightarrow t_0.$$

seeing that for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, we have:

$$a_i(x, u+tv, \nabla(u+tv)) \rightarrow a_i(x, u+t_0v, \nabla(u+t_0v)), t \rightarrow t_0,$$

then (6) combined to the lemma 2, implies that $a_i(x, u+tv, \nabla(u+tv)) \rightarrow a_i(x, u+t_0v, \nabla(u+t_0v))$, weakly in $(L^{p'(x)}(\Omega, \nu^*))^N$, when $t \rightarrow t_0$. Finally, $\forall w \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu), \forall u, v, w \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$

$$\langle A(u+tv), w \rangle \rightarrow \langle A(u+t_0v), w \rangle, \text{ when } t \rightarrow t_0.$$

On another side $g_n(x, u+tv, \nabla(u+tv)) \rightarrow g_n(x, u+t_0v, \nabla(u+t_0v))$, when $t \rightarrow t_0$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$, moreover

$$\int_{\Omega} |g_n(x, u+tv, \nabla(u+tv))|^{p'(x)} dx \leq \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{p_+} |\Omega| < \infty,$$

while using, still the lemma 2, we obtain:

$$g_n(x, u+tv, \nabla(u+tv)) \rightarrow g_n(x, u+t_0v, \nabla(u+t_0v))$$

weakly in $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, when $t \rightarrow t_0$.

Seeing that $w \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, we will have:

$$\langle G_n(u+tv), w \rangle \rightarrow \langle G_n(u+t_0v), w \rangle, \text{ when } t \rightarrow t_0.$$

Now, we will show that $A + G_n$ satisfies the property of type (M), a.e. that, for all sequence $(u_j)_j \subset W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$ checking:

- (i) $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$
- (ii) $(A + G_n)u_j \rightarrow \chi$ weakly in $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, \nu^*)$
- (iii) $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle (A + G_n)u_j, u_j - u \rangle \leq 0$,

then $\chi = (A + G_n)u$.

Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} g_n(x, u_j, \nabla u_j)(u_j - u) dx &\leq \|g_n(x, u_j, \nabla u_j)\|_{p'(x)} \|u_j - u\|_{p(x)} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} |g_n(x, u_j, \nabla u_j)|^{p'(x)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_+}} \|u_j - u\|_{p(x), \nu} \quad \text{Thus} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{p_+} |\Omega| \|u_j - u\|_{p(x), \nu} \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } j \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle G_n u_j, u_j - u \rangle = 0.$$

consequently, according to (iii), we obtain

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle A u_j, u_j - u \rangle \leq 0,$$

and seeing that A is pseudo-monotonous [11], we deduce that

$$A u_j \rightarrow A u \text{ weakly in } W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, \nu^*)$$

and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle A u_j, u_j - u \rangle = 0$.

On another side

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_j, \nabla u_j) \nabla(u_j - u) dx \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(a(x, u_j, \nabla u_j) - a(x, u_j, \nabla u) \right) \nabla(u_j - u) dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_j, \nabla u) \nabla(u_j - u) dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have $a(x, u_j, \nabla u) \rightarrow a(x, u, \nabla u)$ strongly in $(L^{p'(x)}(\Omega, \nu^*))^N$, then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_j, \nabla u) \nabla(u_j - u) dx = 0.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left(a(x, u_j, \nabla u_j) - a(x, u_j, \nabla u) \right) \nabla(u_j - u) dx = 0.$$

Using the lemma 3, see blow, we conclude that

$$\nabla u_j \longrightarrow \nabla u \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ when } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently

$$g_n(x, u_j, \nabla u_j) \longrightarrow g_n(x, u, \nabla u) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ when } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Seeing that $|g_n(x, u_j, \nabla u_j)| \leq n \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, then according to the dominated convergence theorem of Lebesgue, we obtain:

$$g_n(x, u_j, \nabla u_j) \longrightarrow g_n(x, u, \nabla u) \text{ in } L^{p'(x)}(\Omega).$$

and seeing that $v \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, then we have:
 $\int_{\Omega} g_n(x, u_j, \nabla u_j) v dx \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} g_n(x, u, \nabla u) v dx$ when $j \rightarrow \infty$, that means

$$G_n u_j \rightarrow G_n u \text{ in } W^{-1, p'(x)}(\Omega, \nu^*) \text{ when } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Finally

$$A u_j + G_n u_j \rightarrow A u + G_n u = \chi \text{ in } W^{-1, p'(x)}(\Omega, \nu^*) \text{ when } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

5 Technical lemmas

Lemma 2 Let γ a function weight in Ω , $r(\cdot) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, $g \in L^{r(x)}(\Omega, \gamma)$ and $(g_n)_n \subset L^{r(x)}(\Omega, \gamma)$ such that $\|g_n\|_{r(x), \gamma} \leq C$.

If $g_n \rightarrow g$ a.e. in Ω then $g_n \rightarrow g$ weakly in $L^{r(x)}(\Omega, \gamma)$.

Proof:

Let $n_0 \geq 1$, let us pose

$$E(n_0) = \left\{ x \in \Omega : |g_n(x) - g(x)| \leq 1, \forall n \geq n_0 \right\}.$$

We have

$$mes(E(n_0)) \rightarrow mes(\Omega), \text{ when } n_0 \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let

$$F = \left\{ \varphi_{n_0} \in L^{r'(x)}(\Omega, \gamma^*) : \varphi_{n_0} = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \setminus E(n_0) \right\}.$$

Let us show that F is dense in $L^{r'(x)}(\Omega, \gamma^*)$:

let $f \in L^{r'(x)}(\Omega, \gamma^*)$, let us pose:

$$f_{n_0}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in E(n_0), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus E(n_0). \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{r'(x), \gamma^*}(f_{n_0}(x) - f(x)) &= \int_{\Omega} |f_{n_0}(x) - f(x)|^{r'(x)} \gamma^* dx \\ &= \int_{E(n_0)} |f_{n_0}(x) - f(x)|^{r'(x)} \gamma^* dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega \setminus E(n_0)} |f_{n_0}(x) - f(x)|^{r'(x)} \gamma^* dx, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\Omega \setminus E(n_0)} |f_{n_0}(x) - f(x)|^{r'(x)} \gamma^* dx, \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{r'(x)} \gamma^* \chi_{\Omega \setminus E(n_0)}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let us pose $\psi_{n_0} = |f(x)|^{r'(x)} \gamma^* \chi_{\Omega \setminus E(n_0)}(x)$. we have

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{n_0} \rightarrow 0 & \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ \text{and} \\ |\psi_{n_0}| \leq |f(x)|^{r'(x)} \gamma^*, \end{cases}$$

according to the dominate convergence theorem, we will have

$$\rho_{r'(x), \gamma^*}(f_{n_0}(x) - f(x)) \rightarrow 0, \text{ when } n_0 \rightarrow \infty,$$

what implies that F is dense in $L^{r'(x)}(\Omega, \gamma^*)$.

let us show, now, that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x)(g_n(x) - g(x)) dx = 0, \forall \varphi \in F.$$

Seeing that $\varphi = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus E_{n_0}$, it is thus enough to prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_{n_0}} \varphi(x)(g_n(x) - g(x)) dx = 0.$$

Let us pose $\phi_n = \varphi(g_n - g)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} |\varphi(x)|(g_n(x) - g(x)) \leq |\varphi(x)| & \text{in } E_{n_0}, \\ \text{and} \\ \phi_n \rightarrow 0, & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

According to the dominate convergence theorem, we have

$$\phi_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. in } L^1(\Omega),$$

what implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x)(g_n(x) - g(x)) dx = 0, \forall \varphi \in F,$$

and by density of F in $L^{r'(x)}(\Omega, \gamma^*)$, we conclude that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) g_n(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) g(x) dx, \forall \varphi \in L^{r'(x)}(\Omega, \gamma^*),$$

that means

$$g_n \rightarrow g \text{ weakly in } L^{r(x)}(\Omega, \gamma).$$

■

Lemma 3 Assume that (3.1), (3.3), (3.5) hold, let $(u_n)_n$ a sequence in $W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$ and $u \in W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$.

If $\begin{cases} u_n \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \nu), \\ \text{and } \int_{\Omega} (a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) - a(x, u_n, \nabla u)) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) dx \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$
 then $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$.

Proof:

Let us pose

$$D_n = \left(a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) - a(x, u, \nabla u) \right) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u),$$

according the (3.5), we have D_n is a positive function. We have also $D_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Seeing that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu),$$

we have then

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^{q(x)}(\Omega, \sigma),$$

and consequently

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Thanks to $D_n \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in Ω , there is then $B \subset \Omega$ such that $mes(B) = 0$ and for $x \in \Omega \setminus B$, we have

$$|u(x)| < \infty, |\nabla u(x)| < \infty, u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x) \text{ and } D_n(x) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us pose

$$\xi_n = \nabla u_n(x), \quad \xi = \nabla u(x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(x) &\geq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i |\xi_n^i|^{p(x)} + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i |\xi^i|^{p(x)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} [k(x) + \sigma^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} |u_n|^{\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}}] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \omega_j^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} |\xi_n^j|^{p(x)-1} |\xi^j| \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} [k(x) + \sigma^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} |u_n|^{\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}}] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \omega_j^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} |\xi^j|^{p(x)-1} |\xi_n^j|, \\ &\geq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i |\xi_n^i|^{p(x)} - C(x) [1 + \sum_{j=1}^N \omega_j^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} |\xi_n^j|^{p(x)-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} |\xi_n^i|] \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $C(x)$ is a function depending on x and not on n . seeing that $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$, we have $|u_n(x)| \leq M_x$, where M_x is positive. Then by a standard argument, we will have $|\xi_n|$ is uniformly bounded compared to n ; indeed: (4.4) becomes

$$D_n(x) \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i |\xi_n^i|^{p(x)} \left(\alpha \omega_i - \frac{C(x)}{N |\xi_n^i|^{p(x)}} - \frac{C(x) \omega_i^{\frac{1}{p(x)}}}{|\xi_n^i|} - \frac{C(x) \omega_i^{\frac{1}{p(x)}}}{|\xi_n^i|^{p(x)-1}} \right).$$

If $|\xi_n| \rightarrow \infty$, there is at least i_0 such that $|\xi_n^{i_0}| \rightarrow \infty$, what will give us $D_n(x) \rightarrow \infty$; what is absurd.

Let ξ^* an adherent point of ξ_n , we have $|\xi^*| < \infty$ and by continuity of the operator a compared to two last variables, we will have:

$$\left(a(x, u, \xi^*) - a(x, u, \xi) \right) (\xi^* - \xi) = 0,$$

and according to (3.2), we obtain $\xi^* = \xi$.

the unicity of the adherent point implies $\nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x)$ a.e. in Ω .

Seeing that the sequence $(a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n))_n$ is bounded in $(L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \nu))^N$, and $a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow a(x, u, \nabla u)$ a.e. in Ω , then according the lemma 2 we obtain

$$a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightharpoonup a(x, u, \nabla u) \text{ weakly in } (L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \nu))^N.$$

let us pose $\tilde{y}_n = a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n$ and $\tilde{y} = a(x, u, \nabla u) \nabla u$, in the same way that in [4], we can write

$\tilde{y}_n = a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n \rightarrow \tilde{y} = a(x, u, \nabla u) \nabla u$ strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$. According to (3.3), we have

$$\alpha \sum_{i=1}^N \nu \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p(x)} \leq \tilde{y}_n.$$

let

$$z_n = \sum_{i=1}^N \nu \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p(x)}, \quad z = \sum_{i=1}^N \nu \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p(x)}, \quad y_n = \frac{\tilde{y}_n}{\alpha}, \quad y = \frac{\tilde{y}}{\alpha}.$$

Thanks to Fatou lemma, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} 2y dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} y + y_n - |z_n - z| dx,$$

ie

$$0 \leq -\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |z_n - z| dx,$$

then

$$0 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |z_n - z| dx \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |z_n - z| dx \leq 0,$$

what implies

$$\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u \text{ in } (L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \nu))^N,$$

consequently

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu).$$

■

Definition 3 for any $k > 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the truncature function $T_k(\cdot)$ is defined as:

$$T_k(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } |s| \leq k, \\ k \frac{s}{|s|} & \text{if } |s| > k. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4 Let $(u_n)_n$ a sequence from $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$. Then $T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u)$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$.

Proof

We have $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$, and $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu) \hookrightarrow L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, we will have $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω , consequently $T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u)$ a.e. in Ω .

On another side

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_k(u_n)\|_{p(x),\nu}^{\theta_1} &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)} \nu(x) dx, \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k'(u_n)| |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \nu(x) dx, \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \nu(x) dx, \\ &\leq \|u_n\|_{p(x),\nu}^{\theta_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta_1 = \begin{cases} p^+ & \text{if } \|T_k(u_n)\|_{p(x),\nu} \leq 1, \\ p_- & \text{if } \|T_k(u_n)\|_{p(x),\nu} > 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\theta_2 = \begin{cases} p^+ & \text{si } \|u_n\|_{p(x),\nu} \geq 1, \\ p_- & \text{si } \|u_n\|_{p(x),\nu} < 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus $(T_k(u_n))_n$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$, consequently $T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup T_k(u)$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$. ■

6 Proof of theorem 1

Step1: a priori estimate

The problem (\mathcal{P}_n) admits at least a solution u_n belonging to $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$. Choosing $T_k(u_n)$ as test function in (\mathcal{P}_n) , and seeing that

$\int_{\Omega} g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_k(u_n) dx \geq 0$, we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, u_n, \nabla T_k(u_n)) \nabla T_k(u_n) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f_n T_k(u_n) dx.$$

Using (5), we deduce that

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} \nu(x) |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)} dx \leq k C_1,$$

that means

$$\int_{\Omega} \nu(x) |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)} dx \leq \frac{k}{\alpha} C_1.$$

Consequently

$$\|\nabla T_k(u_n)\|_{p(x),\nu}^{\gamma} \leq C_2,$$

where

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} p^+ & \text{if } \|\nabla T_k(u_n)\|_{p(x),\nu} \geq 1, \\ p_- & \text{if } \|\nabla T_k(u_n)\|_{p(x),\nu} < 1. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} a(x, u_n, \nabla T_k(u_n)) \nabla T_k(u_n) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_k(u_n) dx = \int_{\Omega} f_n T_k(u_n) dx. \end{aligned}$$

What implies

$$\int_{\Omega} g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_k(u_n) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |f_n| k dx.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\{|u_n|>k\}} k \frac{u_n}{|u_n|} g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx \\ &+ \int_{\{|u_n|\leq k\}} g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |f_n| k dx. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$k \int_{\{|u_n|>k\}} g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx \leq k C_1$$

However

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nu(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx &= \int_{\{|u_n|>k\}} \nu(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx \\ &+ \int_{\{|u_n|\leq k\}} \nu(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then for $k > \rho_1$, we will have:

$$\int_{\Omega} \nu(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx \leq \frac{1}{\rho_2} \int_{\{|u_n|>k\}} g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) dx + C_2 \leq C_4$$

What implies that a sequence $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$.

Step2: Strong convergence of truncations

Seeing that $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$, and $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu) \hookrightarrow L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, we can extract a subsequence of $(u_n)_n$, still noted $(u_n)_n$, and there is $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u & \text{in } W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu), \\ u_n \rightarrow u & \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

We want to show that $T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u)$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$.

Let $z_n = T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)$, let us pose $v_n = \varphi_{\lambda}(z_n)$, where $\varphi_{\lambda}(s) = se^{\lambda s^2}$.

Choosing v_n as test function in (\mathcal{P}_n) .

We are $(v_n)_n$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$, and $v_n \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in Ω , then according to lemma 4, we obtain $v_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$.

thus $\langle f_n, v_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$, because $v_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and $f_n \rightarrow f$ strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Consequently

$$\eta_{1n} = \langle Au_n, v_n \rangle + \langle G_n u_n, v_n \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

Seeing that $g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \geq 0$ on $\{x \in \Omega : |u_n| \geq k\}$, then we have:

$$\langle Au_n, v_n \rangle + \int_{\{x \in \Omega : |u_n| \leq k\}} g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) v_n dx \leq \eta_{1n}.$$

Thus

$$\langle Au_n, v_n \rangle - \int_{\{x \in \Omega : |u_n| \leq k\}} g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) v_n dx \leq \eta_{1n}. \quad (14)$$

however

$$\langle Au_n, v_n \rangle = \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla(T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \varphi'_\lambda(z_n) dx \leq 2(\eta_{1n} - \eta_{2n} + \eta_{4n}), \rightarrow 0; \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} a(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \nabla(T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \varphi'_\lambda(z_n) dx - \int_{|u_n|>k} a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla T_k(u) \varphi'_\lambda(z_n) dx$$

(15)

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left[a(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - a(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \right] \nabla(T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \varphi'_\lambda(z_n) dx + \eta_{2n},$$

where

$$\eta_{2n} = \int_{\Omega} a(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \nabla(T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \varphi'_\lambda(z_n) dx - \int_{|u_n|>k} a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla T_k(u) \varphi'_\lambda(z_n) dx.$$

We have

$$\begin{cases} \nabla T_k(u) \chi_{\{|u_n|>k\}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } (L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \nu))^N, \\ (a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n))_n \text{ is bounded in } (L^{p'(x)}(\Omega, \nu^*))^N, \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow \eta_{2n} \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

On another side

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) v_n dx \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} |g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| |v_n| dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} d(k)(c(x) + \nu(x)|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}) |v_n| dx \\ & \leq d(k) \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} c(x) |\varphi_\lambda(z_n)| dx \\ & \quad + \frac{d(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n |\varphi_\lambda(z_n)| dx \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \eta_{3n} + \frac{d(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} a(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \nabla T_k(u_n) |\varphi_\lambda(z_n)| dx \\ & \leq \frac{d(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \left(a(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - a(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \right) \\ & \quad \times \left[\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u) \right] |\varphi_\lambda(z_n)| dx + \eta_{4n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\eta_{3n} = d(k) \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} c(x) |\varphi_\lambda(z_n)| dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } \eta_{4n} &= \frac{d(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} a(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \nabla T_k(u) |\varphi_\lambda(z_n)| dx \\ &+ \frac{d(k)}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} a(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)) |\varphi_\lambda(z_n)| dx \\ &+ \eta_{3n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda \geq \left(\frac{d(k)}{\alpha}\right)^2$ then, by a simple calculation, we have

$$\varphi'_\lambda(s) - \frac{d(k)}{\alpha} |\varphi_\lambda(s)| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

By combining this last inequality with (14), (15) and (16), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(a(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - a(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u)) \right)$$

$$\times \left[\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u) \right]$$

Thanks to lemma 3, we deduce that

$$T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u) \text{ strongly in } W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu). \tag{17}$$

step3: Equi-integrability of the nonlinearities $(g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n))_n$

We will show that $g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow g(x, u, \nabla u)$ strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$. Seeing (17), we deduce that

$$\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{18}$$

Let E a measurable part of Ω , and let $m > 0$, let us pose

$$X_m^n = \{x \in \Omega : |u_n| \leq m\} \text{ et } (X_m^n)^c = \{x \in \Omega : |u_n| > m\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx &= \int_{E \cap X_m^n} |g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx \\ &+ \int_{E \cap (X_m^n)^c} |g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx, \\ &\leq d(m) \int_E (\nu(x) |\nabla T_m(u_n)|^{p(x)} \\ &\quad + c(x)) dx + \int_{(X_m^n)^c} |g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Thanks to (17) and seeing $c(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that for all $E: |E| < \delta$, then

$$d(m) \int_E (\nu(x) |\nabla T_m(u_n)|^{p(x)} + c(x)) dx \leq \frac{\eta}{2}. \tag{20}$$

Let ψ_m a function defined by:

$$\psi_m(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |s| \leq m-1, \\ 1 & \text{if } s \geq m, \\ 1 & \text{if } s \leq -m, \\ \psi'_m(x) = 1 & \text{if } m-1 \leq |s| \leq m, \end{cases}$$

we have $\psi_m(u_n) \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$, choosing $\psi_m(u_n)$ as test function in (\mathcal{P}_n) , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n \psi'_m(u_n) dx + \int_{\Omega} g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \psi_m(u_n) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f_n \psi_m(u_n) dx. \end{aligned}$$

According (9) and (10), we deduce that

$$\int_{\{|u_n|>m-1\}} |g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx \leq \int_{\{|u_n|>m-1\}} |f_n| dx.$$

what implies

$$\int_{\{|u_n|>m\}} |g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx \leq \int_{\{|u_n|>m-1\}} |f_n| dx.$$

however $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $\{|\{|u_n| > m-1\}|\} \rightarrow 0$ when $m \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in n , then for m big enough we have;

$$\int_{\{|u_n|>m-1\}} |f_n| dx \leq \frac{\eta}{2}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\{|u_n|>m\}} |g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx \leq \frac{\eta}{2}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (21)$$

Combining (19), (20) and (21), we deduce that

$$\int_E |g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx \leq \eta, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

That means that the sequence $(g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n))_n$ is equi-integrable, but $g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow g(x, u, \nabla u)$ a.e. in Ω , then thanks to Vitali theorem, we deduce that

$$g_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow g(x, u, \nabla u) \text{ strongly in } L^1(\Omega).$$

step4: passing to the limit

Seeing that $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu)$, and thanks to (6), we conclude that $(a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n))_n$ is bounded in $(L^{p'(x)}(\Omega, \nu^*))^N$, and seeing that $a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow a(x, u, \nabla u)$ a.e. in Ω , then according to the lemma 2, we obtain that

$$a(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightharpoonup a(x, u, \nabla u) \text{ weakly in } (L^{p'(x)}(\Omega, \nu^*))^N,$$

while making $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\forall v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \nu) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\langle Au, v \rangle + \int_\Omega g(x, u, \nabla u) v dx = \int_\Omega f v dx.$$

7 Example of application

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$, and let $p(x), q(x) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$.

Let us pose:

$$\begin{cases} a_i(x, r, \zeta) = \nu(x) |\zeta_i|^{p(x)-1} \operatorname{sgn}(\zeta_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\ \text{and} \\ g(x, r, \zeta) = \rho r |r|^{q(x)} \nu(x) |\zeta|^{p(x)}, \quad \rho > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\nu(x)$ a function weight in Ω . The function a_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, which satisfies the assumptions of theorem (6), (7) and (8); and well as the function g satisfies (9), (10) and (11), with $|s| \geq \rho_1 = 1$ and $\rho_2 = \rho > 0$, consequently the assumptions of theorem 1 are satisfied; thus for $f \in L^1(\Omega)$, the following theorem, with $\nu(x) = d^\lambda(x)$:

$$(\mathcal{E}) \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(d^\lambda(x) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p(x)-1} \operatorname{sgn} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \right) \\ + \rho u |u|^{q(x)} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} d^\lambda(x) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p(x)} = f \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \\ u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, d^\lambda(x)) \text{ and} \\ \rho u |u|^{q(x)} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} d^\lambda(x) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p(x)} \in L^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

admits at least one solution $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, d^\lambda(x))$.

References

1. Y. Akdim, E. Azroul and A. Benkirane, "Existence of solution for quasilinear degenerated elliptic equation.", *Electronic J. Equ.* **71**, 1-19, 2001.
2. E. Azroul, A. Barbara and H. Hjjiej, "Strongly nonlinear $p(x)$ -elliptic problems with second member L^1 -dual.", *African Diaspora Journal of Mathematics.* **16**, Number 2, 11722, 2014.
3. E. Azroul, H. Hjjiej and A. Touzani, "Existence and regularity of entropy solutions for strongly nonlinear $p(x)$ -elliptic equations.", *Electronic journal of differential equations.* **68**, 1-27, 2013.
4. M. B. Benboubker, E. Azroul, A. Barbara, "Quasilinear Elliptic Problems with non standard growth.", *EJDE*, **62**, 1-16, 2011.
5. A. Benkirane and A. Elmahi, "Strongly nonlinear elliptic unilateral problems having natural growth terms and L^1 data.", *Rendiconti di matematica, Serie VII*, **18**, 28917303, 1998.
6. A. Bensoussan, L. Boccardo and F. Murat, "On a nonlinear partial differential equation having natural growth terms and unbounded solution.", *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, **4**, 347-364, 1988.
7. L. Boccardo and T. Gallouët, "Strongly nonlinear elliptic equations having natural growth terms and L^1 .", *Nonlinear Analysis Theory methods and applications*, **19**(6), 573-579, 1992.
8. L. Boccardo and T. Gallouët, "Nonlinear elliptic equations with right hand side measures.", *Comm. P.D.E.*, **17**, 641-655, 1992.
9. H. Brezis and W. Strauss, "Semilinear second-order elliptic equations in L^1 .", *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **25**(4), 565-590, 1973.
10. T. Del Vecchio, "Nonlinear elliptic equations with measure data.", *Potential Analysis*, **4**, 185-203, 1995.
11. P. Drabek, A. Kufner and V. Mustonen, "Pseudo-monotonicity and degenerated or singular elliptic operators.", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **58**, 213-221, 1998.
12. X. L. Fan and Q. H. Zhang, "Existence for $p(x)$ -Laplacien Dirichlet problem.", *Nonlinear Analysis*, **52**, 1843-1852, 2003.
13. X. L. Fan and D. Zhao, "On the generalized Orlicz-Sobolev Space $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$.", *J. Gansu Educ. College*, **12**(1), 1-6, 1998.
14. O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, "On Spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$.", *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **41**, 592-618, 1991.
15. J. L. Lions, "Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires.", *Dunod*, 1969.
16. V. M. Monetti and L. Randazzo, "Existence results for nonlinear elliptic equations with p -growth in the gradient.", *Riceche di Matimaica*, **XLIX**(1), 163-181, 2000.
17. D. Zhao, X. J. Qiang and X. L. Fan, "On generalized Orlicz Spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$.", *J. Gansu Sci.*, **9**(2), 1-7, 1997.